

STONE SAWING WITHOUT ACUTE NOISE

5 TECHNICAL SECTOR

In general, the objective of the stone-cutting process is to manufacture materials for construction, which is the sector under which this activity qualifies. The raw materials are stone blocks such as granite, siennite, diorite, 10 serpentine, labradorite, gabbro, porfido, etc. measuring between 1 and 12 m³ in parallelepipeds with edges measuring 0.5 to 3.5 m, and after the cutting process, they become slabs measuring 1 or more cm thick, generally 11, 13, 17, 21, 26, 31 and 41 mm, up to 7 m².

15 TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

Patents 200100842/2, PCT9400009, ES9801558, ES99100102, PT101357=IT1261207, P20020010 and others describe the cutting process with fine, soft powder sludges and other improvements. However, it was not 20 possible to apply these techniques under normal cutting conditions, i.e. with sludges containing between 120 and 180 g of grit with a diameter of between 0.4 and 1 mm, to machines with semi-rectilinear strip movement, with a long course, between 700 and 800 mm, and short relative camber, between 25 and 30 mm, although they work very well with machines with a course of 320 mm 25 and 16 mm of camber. Using fine, soft powders in machines with semi-rectilinear movement only allowed 30% progress or a 30% lower power requirement. In both cases, the noise was almost the same as without the fine, soft powders; i.e. that the strips continued to drag and rub directly against the stone.

Nowadays, almost all machines have a course of between 500 and 800mm, with camber or without camber, and move in a straight line, in this case using perforated strips, the holes on which allow the grit to enter the space between the strip and the bottom of the slot. The cost of the cutting process is currently approximately 5.5€ in machines with camber and over 6.6€ in machines without camber, because of the higher cost per kg of the perforated strips, when cutting stone with cutting difficulty index 3 (IDA=3)

Some existing machines with semi-rectilinear movement cut with maximum sustained advances of up to $\frac{10}{IDA}$ cm/h with strips tensed at 7.000 kp.

Machines with rectilinear movement advance up to 60% more and there are machines with long courses and short cambers that advance from 10% less to 80% more than the aforementioned machines with semi-rectilinear movement.

DISSEMINATION

The problem.- The noise from the cutting process, as it is carried out nowadays, is due to the strip rubbing straight against the stone. It sounds like “chaa, chaa,...”, more than 90db at 1.5m, and is a high-pitched noise. This friction may not occur along the whole length of the strip, or all the time the strip is pressing against the stone. When the strip is not rubbing against the stone, but merely leaning on the grit, which lies between the lower edge of the strip and the bottom of the slot, like a linear ball or roller bearing, the noise sounds like “ruoon, ruoon,...”, less than 70 db at 1.5m, and is a low-pitched noise. This low-pitched noise is due to the stone and the grit breaking, when the latter moves under sufficient force for the pressure of the grit on the stone to

exceed the stone's resistance to compression and the steel in the grit and thus break the stones.

5 The low-pitched noise is also present in existing cutting processes, but is not detected by the human ear as it is lower in pitch and strength than the high-pitched noise.

10 In the case of machines with semi-rectilinear movement, with long courses and short relative cambers, the high-pitched noise indicates that if the grit under the strip is sufficient at the start of the course, it is not enough during the rest of the course, as it escapes at the sides. The losses at the sides cause a detour in the path of half the thickness of the strip, 2mm, or less, and the probability that this should occur is expected to be very high in a pressured course of more than 400mm, given the irregularity of the surfaces at the bottom
15 of the slot and on the lower edge of the strip, measuring 4 mm wide, which has a circular profile of 4mm in diameter. It is also possible that enough grit and sludge does not go under the strip because of the short time available for the sludge to go down, because of the viscosity of the sludge and the limited space available between strips and stones, 1mm or less on each side.

20

 In the case of machines with rectilinear movement and perforated strips, the friction between the strip and the stone is partly due to the grit escaping at the sides and especially due to how difficult it is for the grit to get under the strip, which does not free itself from the bottom of the slot at all.

25

 In both cases, the friction between the strip and the stone is responsible for the wearing of approximately 1kg of the strip per m² of slot with stone of a cutting difficulty of 3. The grit needed for this stone is currently 1.6 kg. In the rolling between the strip and the grit, the grit and strip hardly undergo any wear
30 because the resistance limits of these materials are only exceeded in a small

proportion of cases, as the k coefficient in the formula $F = kr^2$, (F = force in
ponds that a grain of grit with a radius of r cm exerts, to break) is at least 75
times higher in the contact between the grit and the strip than in the contact
between the grit and the hardest stone (quartz), due to the different elastic limits
5 of both contacts. k is approximately equal to 256,000 for quartz if its resistance
to compression is 9,500 kp/cm² in a cubic test-tube of average resistance.

The angular grit, in the vertices and edges, has a very small radius and
therefore in these cases, F is very small and short or easily marks the stone, but
10 the vertex or the edge also suffers from wear and is rounded, until after
successive times, each grain of grit becomes spherical in shape, with a diameter
that is shorter than the minimum initial dimension.

The solution.- The solution consists of increasing the concentration of
15 the grains of grit in the sludge, so that the probability of their presence is
sufficient, after the long course of the strip and they can prevent the strip from
rubbing against the stone. This is achieved by increasing the content of grit in
the sludge and reducing the average size of the grains, as far as economically
possible, until it is possible to carry out the cutting process without the strip
20 rubbing against the stone, i.e. until the intense, high-pitched noise disappears,
leaving a soft noise like the drone of the bagpipes.

In machines with rectilinear movement, the grit escaping at the sides is
due to the distance between the perforations. However, if the distance were
25 reduced, the cost per kg of strip would be even higher.

The lack of friction due to the presence of a lot of grains of grit under
the strip allows for greater advancement.

A grit content double the normal content to date and a grain size of on average half the current size allow for $2 \times 4 = 8$ times more grains of grit under the strips.

5 Grit contents that are double the normal used to date and even higher do not cause problems due to friction between the grains, if the viscosity of the sludge is sufficient to keep them in suspension - for example, sludge with a content of 22% of volume of fine, soft powder sludge, no matter what the size of the grit.

10

With the method described in patent ES200100842, for diluting and homogenising the sludge before the cyclone stage, it is possible to prevent the loss of 95% and more of the grit with a diameter of between 0.2 and 0.3 mm, which allows to use grit measuring between 0.3 and 0.5 mm without increasing
15 the grit consumption.

Therefore, in order to cut the more difficult stones, with IDA 4 and 5, grit measuring less than 0.5 mm should preferably be used. For other stones, a size lower than $\frac{1}{\sqrt{IDA}}$ mm may be used, i.e. 0.7 mm for stones with an IDA of 1 and 2, and 0.6 for stones with an IDA = 3.

25 The maximum size of the grit is understood to be the size defined by the size of the opening in the square mesh the grit passes through, whether spherical or angular.

30 In the few experiments that have been carried out, the conclusions demonstrate that concentrations of 250 to 400 gr of actual grit per litre of sludge are recommendable in some cases. However, given the variety of machines that are used and the great variety of stones that are cut, this parameter must be confirmed by experiment. Because there are no recorded

cases of the use of more than 190g of actual grit, and the concentration between the maximum size and 40% of the maximum per litre of sludge are considered to be useful, concentrations of more than 210g/l are claimed.

5 The smaller size of the grains of grit makes the cutting process easier. In the formula $F = kr^2$, if r is half the normal value, F will be a quarter of the normal value; i.e. a quarter of the force on a grain of grit marks the stone, meaning that the cutting process advances and if the machine can exert a force of, for example, 50,000 F , acting upon 50,000 grains of grit, if the size is
10 reduced by half, it will act on $50,000 \times 2^2 = 200,000$ grains of grit, although the progress will not be 4 times greater, but possibly between two and three times greater, merely by reducing the average diameter or size of the grit. Experiments carried out on machines with short courses have confirmed this.

15 The lack of friction between the strips and the slot is also advantageous in terms of energy savings. Approximately 5 kWh/m² are currently used in cutting stone with a difficulty level of 3. Around 25 kWh/m² were used over 25 years ago. However, the energy needed to make a 6 mm slot on stone with a difficulty level of 3 is approximately 0.035 kWh/m², creating debris with an
20 average size of 37 µm, normally, and losses in the machine are lower than 1 kWh/m². The rest, i.e. approximately 4 kWh/m², is used in other friction, 1.5 kWh/m² approximately in the friction with the debris from the cutting process (30% of 5) and approximately 2.5 kWh/m² in the friction between the strip and the bottom of the slot. These 2.5 kWh/m² may be saved by using grit in greater
25 quantity and of a finer consistency.

On the other hand, the energy savings prevent the sludge from heating up and therefore, prevent water from evaporating and the resulting spreading of fine powder which is so harmful to workers and machines alike.

LIST OF ADVANTAGES

No annoying high-pitched noise, over 80 dB at 1.5m.

- 5 Savings in strips, as they do not rub against the stone, almost 1 kg/m² on stone with a difficulty level of 3 or 0.3 IDA kg/m², approximately.

Energy savings of more than 2.4 kWh/m² in the case of stone with a difficulty level of 3, or of 0.8 x IDA kg/m², approximately.

10

Increased progress and greater production, double or higher.

Less dust, which deteriorates machines.

- 15 Workers do not need masks to avoid silicosis and neither do they need protection for their ears.

It is possible to stand and talk in the vicinity of the machines.

- 20 Machines do not bother neighbouring activities / enterprises.

BEST WAY TO CARRY OUT PROCEDURE

- 25 Purchase grit of the size that is best suited to the IDA difficulty level of each stone or classify it by filtering if purchased up to 1 mm thick.

Maintain the grit concentration in sludge that is most suited to each type of stone and machine, higher than 210 g/l and possibly up to 650 g/l and higher.

30

Use the method described in patent ES200100842 to dilute and homogenise the sludges in order to retrieve the grit before disposing of the sludges.

- 5 Work out in experiments, for each type of machine, each kind, condition and tension of the strips and for each stone type and size, the most convenient actual grit concentrations, the most convenient grit sizes and the most convenient maximum progress, in order to eliminate high-pitched noise over 80 db at 1.5 m and achieve the other advantages described herein.

10

HOW TO EXPLOIT THE INVENTION

- 15 As there are about 1,500 cutting machines in operation worldwide, the best way is to establish license contracts for use of the invention, with a share in the savings generated, starting off with an equal share the 1st year and decreasing shares each year that goes by, ending with a 25% share the sixth and successive years, until the patent expires.